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## JBP: Java Boolean Parser

(for lack of a better name)

* Motivation: need GLR implementation that is:
* Lexerless
* In Java (emits parser as Java source code)
* Bonus features:
* Programmatic grammar manipulation
* Boolean Grammars (superset of Context-Free)
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## LR Parsing

* "Left-to-Right": on-line parsing
* $0(n)$ time
* Only works for "follow-deterministic" grammars


## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
I "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
I "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
। "(" Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

## Shift" ""

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
। "(" Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Shift" ""

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
| "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Shift"+"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
। "(" Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Shift"2"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
| "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Reduce "Expr + Expr"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
| "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"
Shift")"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
I Expr "-" Expr
। "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Reduce "(Expr )"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr । Expr "-" Expr I "("Expr ")"
1 [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Shift"-"

## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr । Expr "-" Expr | "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+
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## LR Parsing

Expr ::= Expr "+" Expr
| Expr "-" Expr
। "("Expr ")"
I [0-9]+

Input: "(1+2)-3"

Reduce "Expr - Expr"


## Key LR Invariant

* The nodes along the path from the top of the stack to the bottom represent parse tree fragments for elements of a prefix chain of productions

Exp : := "("Expr ")"


Input: " $(1+2)-3$ "

## GLR (Generalized LR)

* Not only for "follow-deterministic" grammars, but O(n) on them like LR
* O(n^3) worst case
* Almost always avoidable
* Three key concepts
* Multiple reduction paths
* Graph Structured Stack
* Shared, Packed Parse Forest


## GLR (Generalized LR)

* The nodes along each path from the top of the graph structured stack (GSS) to the bottom represent shared packed parse forest (SPPF) fragments for elements of a prefix chain of productions

Input: " $(1+2-3)$ "


## Multiple Paths

* The nodes along each path from the top of the GSS to the bottom represents SPPF fragments for elements of a prefix chain of productions
* Multiple paths indicate multiple possible prefix chains


## Graph Structured Stack

* The nodes along each path from the top of the GSS to the bottom represents SPPF fragments for elements of a prefix chain of productions
* Graph structured stack allows sharing: bounds graph at $0\left(n^{\prime} 2\right.$ 2) (for CNF grammars)


# Shared Packed Parse Forest 

* The nodes along each Input: $(1+2-3)+5$ path from the top of the GSS to the bottom represents SPPF fragments for elements of a prefix chain of productions
* Shared, Packed Parse Forest represents multiple valid parse trees efficiently



## History of GLR



## Lexerless GLR

* No lexer; every character is a parse token
* Also called "scannerless" and "complete character-Ievel"
* Advantage: single formalism for entire syntax
* Disadvantage: requires strange "features" * Follow
* Reject
* Prefer/Avoid
* Character Ranges
* Whitespace insertion


## Lexerless GLR extensions

* Character Ranges
* Specify A-Z without typing 26 things
* Specify "all non-whitespace unicode chars" without typing 65,000-some things
* A robust implementation needs to use range-set arithmetic when constructing the parse table


## Lexerless GLR extensions

* Follow Restrictions
* simulate longest-match token

Identifier $::=[A-Z a-z]+\sim / \sim[A-Z a-z]$ $\uparrow$
"not followed by" (negative lookahead)

## Lexerless GLR extensions

* Reject Productions
* identifiers cannot be keywords
* interesting things happen when you omit this...


## Identifier ::= [A-Za-z]+ ~/~ [A-Za-z] | "while" \{reject\}

reject attribute
(regardless of other productions, Identifier cannot match the text "while")

## Lexerless GLR extensions

## * Prefer/Avoid

* used to handle "dangling else"
* implemented as a filter applied to the packed forest after parsing

S ::= "if" E"then" S

must not end with an if..then

## Conjunctive Grammars

* Straightforward concept, but details not worked out until Okhotin '00
* In addition to juxtaposition and union, allows intersection (\&) as an operator in grammar productions


## Conjunctive Grammars

$S::=A B \& D C$
A : : = "a"A | $\in$
B : := "b"B "c" | $\epsilon$
$C::=$ "c"C | $\in$
D : := "a" D"b"| $\epsilon$
$\left\{a^{n} b_{c}{ }_{c}^{n}{ }_{c}^{n}\right\}$
Not context-free!

## Conjunctive Grammars

* Despite added power, still parseable in $0\left(n^{\wedge} 3\right)$
* GLR algorithm already gives us most of what we need
* Just add the ability for two ambiguous parsings to be interdependent
* A link between two reduction nodes
* If either node is rejected, the other dies


## Boolean Grammars

* Okhotin '03
* Adds negation to conjunctive grammars
* Raises some theoretical issues:

$$
Y::=(\sim Y) \& X
$$

* Okhotin arrives at a minimal restriction to retain sanity


## Boolean Grammars

* Still parseable using GLR
* Link between two ambiguity nodes
* If nodes are ever merged and the negated one has not yet failed, then both must fail
* Boolean closure of follow-deterministic grammars is still parseable in linear time!


## Boolean Grammars

* Why would you want to use these?
* Okhotin uses them to make "variables must be used within scope" a syntactic constraint
* Dirty little secret is that the resulting grammar is pathologically nondeterminitic; worst-case GLR performance


## Boolean Grammars

* Better reason to use them:
* Clean formalism, well understood
* Subsumes most of the "ugly hacks" needed for lexerless parsing
* Visser's algorithm for reject constraints is a special case of Okhotin's negation rule
* Can handle dangling-else elegantly (no need for prefer/avoid constraints)


## Boolean \& Lexerless

* Lexerless parsing and Boolean grammars go well together
* Cleaner formalism for Lexerless Parsing
* Realistic application for Boolean Grammars
* Boolean grammars are just plain cool
* Lots left to be discovered


## Other Features

* Any topological space (union, intersection, complement, empty set, universe) can be used as an alphabet ("character set")
* No assumption of a bijection with integers
* No assumption that a bit-set is a practical representation
* Parsing a discrete sequence of objects drawn from a non-discrete space


## Other Features

## * Nice API, programmatic manipulations <br> * All grammatical elements extend Element

```
Union expr = new Union();
Element id = new Range('A', 'Z').many1().maximal();
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { expr, "+", expr }));
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { expr, "*", expr }));
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { id }));
```


## Other Features

* Union implements Collection<Sequence>
* Sequence implements Collection<Element>

```
Union expr = new Union();
Element id = new Range('A', 'Z').many1().maximal();
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { expr, "+", expr }));
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { expr, "*", expr }));
expr.add(new Sequence(new Object[] { id }));
for(Sequence sequence : expr)
    for(Element element : sequence)
        System.out.print(element + " ");
```


## Implementation

http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~megacz/jbp/

